

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/768,976	01/23/2001		Richard E. Martin	Q01-1001-US1	2315
75	590	09/24/2002			
Steven G Roe			EXAMINER		
The Law Office Of Steven G Roeder 5560 Chelsea Avenue				BLOUIN, MARK S	
La Jolla, CA 92037				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2653	
				DATE MAILED: 09/24/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

al !

	4.1	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
		09/768,976	MARTIN ET AL	ag					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	.					
	•	Mark Blouin	2653						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap			address					
Period fo		•	·						
THE I - External after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a represent of the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing department adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, howe oly within the statutory mini will apply and will expire S e, cause the application to	rer, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered ti IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of th become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	is communication.					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
2a)□	•	 his action is non-fir	ial.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for fo	mal matters, prosecution as to	the merits is					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
· ·	ion of Claims	_							
•	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application		A:						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
• —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ ion Papers	or election requirer	nent.						
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b)⊡ objecte	ed to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	its have been rece	ved.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been rece	ved in Application No						
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Bee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	nal Stage					
				nal application).					
•	 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 								
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:						
.S. Patent and T	rademark Office		_						

Art Unit: 2653

Detailed Action

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3,5, 8-10, and 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Arya et al. (USPN 5,739,982).
- Regarding Claims 1-3,5, 8-10, 12 and 13, Arya et al. shows (Fig. 1) a disk drive (130) with a transducer assembly (Col. 2, ln. 67, Col. 3, lns. 1-2) comprising a storage disk (134) having a storage surface, an actuator arm (146) that moves relative to the storage disk (134), a load beam (Fig. 4, (58)) secured to the actuator arm (146), a slider (48) including a data transducer (Col. 2, ln. 67, Col. 3, lns. 1-2), and a head suspension (50) that secures the slider (46) to the load beam (Fig. 4, (58)) and positions the slider (46) near the storage disk (134), the head suspension (50) maintaining the slider at a pitch static attitude of less than approximately zero degrees, between approximately zero and negative two degrees, less than approximately negative one degree, and less than approximately negative two degrees (Col. 7, Table 1).
- 4. Regarding Claims 14-18, the disc drive set forth above will have necessarily been made using all the claimed method steps.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2653

...

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 6. Claims 4 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arya et al. (USPN 5,739,982).
- 6. Regarding Claims 4 and 11, Arya et al. shows all the features described, *supra*, except a head suspension maintaining the slider at a pitch static attitude of approximately negative two degrees. The pitch static attitude is a result effective variable and the Examiner finds that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to obtain the claimed pitch attitude through routine experimentation and optimization in the absence of criticality. Determining the optimal values of result effective variables would have been obvious and ordinarily within the skill of the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 276, 205, USPQ 215, 219 (CCPA 1980).
- 7. Claims 6 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arya et al. (USPN 5,739,982) in view of Jacques (USPN 5,612,839).
- 8. Regarding Claim 6, Arya et al. shows all the features described, *supra*, except a padded slider that includes an air bearing surface and at least one pad that extends below the air bearing surface. Jacques shows a padded slider (Fig. 4a) that includes an air bearing surface (channel between pads (101) and (103)) and at least one pad (101, 103) that extends below the air bearing surface. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the disk drive of Arya et al. with a padded slider that includes an air bearing surface and at least one pad that extends below the air bearing surface as taught by Jacques. The rationale is as follows: One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to provide the disk drive of Arya et al. with a padded slider that includes an

Art Unit: 2653

air bearing surface and at least one pad that extends below the air bearing surface as taught by Jacques in order to house a magnetic head for recording and playback of a data storage medium

9. Regarding Claim 19, the padded slider that includes an air bearing surface and at least one pad that extends below the air bearing surface set forth above will have necessarily been made using the claimed method step.

and as a means to reduce friction on and damage to the storage medium.

- 10. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arya et al. (USPN 5,739,982) in view of Battu et al. (USPN 5,841,610).
- Regarding Claim 7, Arya et al. shows all the features described, *supra*, except a ramp positioned near an outer diameter of the storage disk. Battu et al. shows a ramp (Fig. 1, (80) positioned near an outer diameter of the storage disk. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the disk drive of Arya et al. with a ramp positioned near an outer diameter of the storage disk as taught by Battu et al. The rationale is as follows: One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to provide the disk drive of Arya et al. with a ramp positioned near an outer diameter of the storage disk as taught by Battu et al. in order to create a landing area for the head gimbal array (HGA) rather than allowing the (HGA) to rest on the storage medium as in most known smaller disc drives, thus avoiding damage to the (HGA) and storage medium.

Conclusion.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Blouin whose telephone number is (703) 305-5629. The examiner can normally be reached M-F, 6:00 am - 3:30 pm.

Art Unit: 2653

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful the examiner's supervisor, William Korzuch can be reached at (703) 305-6137. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314 for regular and After Final communications.

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Mark Blouin

Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2653

September 19, 2002

WILLIAM KORZUCH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600